

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/671,773 | 09/29/2003 | Hung-Yu Chiu | 0941-0848P | 7558 |
| 2292 | 7590 04/14/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| | EWART KOLASCH | TOLEDO, FERNANDO L | | |
| PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 11111111111 | , vii 220 to 07 | • | 2823 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/14/200 | 6 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | F |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/671,773 | CHIU ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Fernando L. Toledo | 2823 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | pears on the cover she | et with the correspondence add | iress |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMM .136(a). In no event, however, m d will apply and will expire SIX (6) te, cause the application to become | UNICATION. lay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31. | January 2006. | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th | is action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allow | • | · · | merits is |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 | C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,4-22,24 and 25 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is/are withdress. 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 12-22 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,4-11,24 and 25 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ | awn from consideration | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 29 September 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to be added to | s/are: a)⊠ accepted or e drawing(s) be held in ab ction is required if the dra | eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CF | R 1.121(d). |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | nts have been received nts have been received ority documents have b au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | in Application No. <u>10/242,773</u> eeen received in this National S | = |
| | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20060131. | Pape 3) 5) Notic | riew Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO: : | -152) |

Art Unit: 2823

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found

in a prior Office action.

2. Claims 1, 7, 8, 10, 11, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Huang et al. (U. S. patent 6,521,922 B1) in view of Wu (U. S. Patent

6,689,658 B2).

In re claim 1, Huang, in the U. S. patent 6,521,922 B1; figures 1 and 2 and related text

discloses, providing several interconnect structures (34) forming a passivation structure (42) over

the several interconnect structures (34) wherein the passivation structure includes a first

dielectric layer 36 and a silicon-oxy-nitride (SiO_xN_v) layer (38); and forming a second dielectric

layer 40 over the surface of the passivation structure (Figure 2); wherein the first dielectric is

formed by depositing a HDP oxide over the interconnect structure with high density plasma

chemical vapor deposition (HDPCVD), and the thickness of the first dielectric layer is

substantially between 7,000 – 10,000 Å so as to perform passivation function (Column 2, Lines

35 - 43).

Huang discloses wherein the interconnect structures are of a conductive material.

However, Huang does not specifically teaches whether the interconnect structures are made of

metal.

Wu, in the U. S. Patent 6,689,658 B2 discloses a method of forming a flash memory

device which discloses that the interconnect structures can be form of conductive materials such

as metal (Column 5, Lines 45 - 50) and forming a substantially planarized inter-layer dielectric layer covering several metal interconnect structure (Figure 2C).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the interconnect structures of Huang out of metal, since, as taught by Wu, the interconnect structures of a flash memory device can be formed out of metal.

- 3. In re claim 7, Huang discloses wherein the thickness of the silicon-oxy-nitride (SiO_xN_y) layer is between 4,000 to 7,000 Å (Column 2, Lines 55 57).
- 4. In re claim 8, Huang discloses, wherein the memory device is a flash memory device (Column 2, Lines 28 30).
- 5. In re claim 10, Huang discloses wherein the first dielectric layer is thicker than or equal to the silicon-oxy-nitride (SiO_xN_y) layer (Column 2, Lines 35 43 and 55 57).
- 6. In re claim 11, Huang discloses, wherein at least one of the first dielectric layer, the silicon-oxy-nitride (SiO_xN_y) layer, or the second dielectric layer comprises substantially planarized surface (Figure 2).
- 7. In re claim 24, Wu discloses wherein the substantially planarized inter-layer dielectric layer is made of hydrogen blocking material (Column 12, Lines 25 35).
- 8. In re claim 25, Huang discloses wherein further comprising forming a substantially planarized inter-layered dielectric covering the plurality of metal interconnect structures (Figure 2).
- 9. Claims 4 6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang as applied to claims 1, 7, 8, 10, 11, 24 and 25 above, and further in view of Wolf and Tauber (Silicon Processing for the VLSI Era Volume 1: Process Technology).

In re claim 4, Huang discloses forming the PSG layer (Column 2, Lines 50 - 55).

Huang in view of Wu does not disclose forming the PSG layer by APCVD.

However, Wolf in the textbook <u>Silicon Processing for the VLSI Era Volume 1: Process</u>

<u>Technology</u> discloses that APCVD processes are simple reactors, have fast deposition at low temperatures (Page 168, Table 1).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the PSG layer of Huang by APCVD since as taught by Wolf, APCVD processes are simple reactors, have fast deposition at low temperatures.

- 10. In re claim 5, Huang discloses wherein the second dielectric layer is between 8,000 and 10,000 Å (Column 2, Lines 50 55).
- 11. In re claim 6, Huang discloses wherein the SiO_xN_y layer is deposited.

Wolf discloses on page 161 that CVD processes are often selected over competing deposition techniques because they offer the following advantages: a) high purity; b) a great variety of chemical compositions can be deposited among others.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the SiO_xN_y layer of Huang by CVD, since as taught by Wolf, CVD processes are often selected over competing deposition techniques because they offer the following advantages: a) high purity; b) a great variety of chemical compositions can be deposited among others.

12. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huang in view of Wu as applied to claims 1, 7, 8, 10, 11, 24 and 25 above, and further in view of Sung (U. S. patent 6,235,592 B1).

Application/Control Number: 10/671,773

Art Unit: 2823

Huang discloses that the device is a memory device, such as an EEPROM.

Huang in view of Wu does not disclose that the memory device could be a mask ROM.

However, Sung, in the U. S. patent 6,235,592 B1; figures 1a – 3 and related text, discloses, that memory devices could be, among others EEPROM, PROM and mask ROM (Column 1, Lines 18 – 25).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to teach that the memory device of Huang could be a mask ROM, since as taught by Sung, memory devices include but are not limited to EEPROM, PROM and mask ROM.

Allowable Subject Matter

13. Claims 12 - 22 are allowed over the prior art of record.

Response to Arguments

14. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

15. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 10/671,773

Art Unit: 2823

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Page 6

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Fernando L. Toledo whose telephone number is 571-272-1867.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 12pm-7:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on 571-272-1907. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

nando L. Toledo Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2823

flt

13 April 2006